



MEETING SUMMARY

CALIFORNIA WATER PLAN UPDATE 2013 TRIBAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

9:00 AM – 4:30 P.M.

CENTER FOR COLLABORATIVE POLICY
815 S STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA

MEETING OBJECTIVES:

1. Review Update 2013 Tribal AC deliverables
2. Discuss Update 2018 Tribal AC
3. Review *Culture and Water* Resource Management Strategy

Table of Contents:

Welcome, Introductions	1
Legislative Overview	2
Update 2013: Tribal AC Deliverables	5
Update 2018: Tribal AC Development	5
Report: Californians without Safe Water and Sanitation	6
<i>Water and Culture</i> RMS & Related References in Volume 4.....	7
Tribal AC Communication Plan (TCP).....	8
Strategic Framework.....	9
TEK Reference Framework	9
Other DWR Tribal Activities	11
Next Steps.....	12
Attendance	14

Welcome, Introductions

Kamyar Guivetchi, DWR, Manager, Division of Statewide Integrated Water Management welcomed Tribal AC members to one of the last meetings for Update 2013. Reflecting back over the past 3 ½ years, he remarked on the accomplishment associated with establishing the Tribal Advisory Committee (Tribal AC). This body built on the work of the 2009 Tribal Communication Committee in creating a venue for Tribal participation in the California Water Plan (Water Plan) and water management in general. This is the first time that formal Tribal representation has informed the Water Plan, which will be an important part of Update 2018 and into the future.

Regarding the overall work plan for Update 2013, the Public Review Draft (PRD) was completed in October and previewed at the Plenary. The two-day event was well attended, with increased Tribal participation and impact across the breakout sessions. Comments from the Plenary will inform the final Update 2013 document. A workshop on the Highlights document



was held recently, on December 10, 2013. The PRD will work its way to the Governor's office, for release in the Spring of 2014.

Anecita Agustinez, appointed as the new DWR Tribal Liaison in April, also welcomed Tribal AC members. She noted that when first becoming oriented to the position, she was quite impressed with the work done to date. Seeds were planted, that created a case study for agencies on real Tribal engagement, even during hard times. There were hard internal questions associated with a new endeavor. New processes need to be carefully tended, making sure the right shoots move forward. There are many other processes in DWR that could be informed by Tribes. This is a good foundation for future efforts.

Legislative Overview

Ms. Agustinez presented an overview of key themes emerging from Tribal discussions. A recurring challenge is to funding associated with water bonds and grants. It is important to identify options for Tribal engagement. She explained that the IRWM process is a grant process which interprets water code. The IRWM strategic planning branch has developed a Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) sheet, which was developed in response to Tribal AC comments. A simple one-page version is found on the Agency's website. The document provides an overview and includes examples of what has been done in the North Coast for Tribal participation. There are changes that can occur within the existing framework.

Any potential revisions to Water Code must be carefully thought through. It would be helpful to have task force or working committee to look at this. Ms. Agustinez distributed a list of 2013 state 2013 relating to water. The list includes the current status of each piece of legislation. In addition to possible changes in the Water Bond, it is important to assure conformity in legislation that is being chaptered. If standard language were developed, where Tribes were eligible for funding, it would be consistent moving forward. Some Tribes would fall under Small Community criteria or be eligible for community grants.

Anecita provided an overview on the Legislative Process. This primer can also be used to help engage Tribal youth in high school or college. Tribes need to grow their own lobbyists, and Tribal graduates and students should shadow mentors and be brought into the process. Legislation is another aspect of strategic planning. The overview describes how to get a bill through, how to read a bill and how to introduce a bill. There are considerations on options for how to proceed with introducing language. There can be language included in the Water Bond, or there can be new bills or trailer bills. Adding amendments to the Water Code could be advanced by DWR or the Governor's Office. The Governor's Office could convene a Legislative Council to write the bill. These are options that need to be discussed, and they are not mutually exclusive. A legislative task force could elevate the work of the Tribal AC.

Ms. Agustine elaborated that legislative language could be proposed by a Tribe, senator or assembly member. Water is addressed by the Assembly Committee on Water, Parks and Wildlife or the Senate Natural Resources Committee. There is a window of opportunity here, with chaptered legislation on the legislative agenda in January. Language needs to include Tribes.



Discussion

Comment: This is great information that we can take back to our councils. While this may be the way we want to go, Tribes may be distrustful if this moves too fast. How would we go about doing this? Timing and framework are essential.

Response: Kamyar Guivetchi emphasized aspects of timing, inclusion and consequences.

February 21, 2014 is the last day to introduce legislation. The Water Bond is scheduled to be on the ballot in November 2014. A larger concern of Tribes is that Tribes do not have equal and full access to funding. Once language is ratified for the bond, Tribes will have to live with that language for the next 10 years. It's not necessary to solve all aspects of the issue at the same time. Precedent can help inform subsequent efforts. Incremental improvements can set the stage for future efforts. An approach doesn't need to be perfect, it needs to be a step in the right direction that will help Tribes.

Response: Anecita Agustine added that the legislature will follow trends. If the Capitol is aware that Tribes want inclusion, word will get out. If properly introduced to the Governor, this could be added to a trailer bill. This could clarify that Tribes were always intended to be considered local governments.

It was noted that Stephanie Suess and others have been working with Assemblyman Rendon on language for AB 1331. The language is based on existing language that was established in legislation. It does not create new definitions which might trigger concerns. Specifically, it is proposed language defines a "Federally recognized Indian Tribe" as a public agency. Incremental improvements are essential and this will provide huge precedence. Rendon has been supportive and presented the concept at ACWA last week. The assemblyman wants to know that there is Tribal support, should this receive any pushback. This proposal requires Tribal support. It's critical to have that support to advance legislation.

Comment: As competing bills are reconciled in the conference session, it is important that this language be carried forward.

It was agreed that more education is needed, to promote a better understanding of Tribal issues within the legislature. For example, presentations to the Assembly and Senate committees would be helpful. The committee chairs need a working knowledge of Tribal interests and issues. Another option is that the Governor could hold a special session or hearing. A primer for consultants and staff is essential – since they write the language. This needs to be instilled in the people who are writing the first drafts.

It was also agreed that multiple approaches are needed to make progress. The Water Bond language is one approach. Education through a legislative primer is another. Also, a legislative committee could look at changes to the Water Code. This could be folded in with the Tribal AC for Update 2018. A unified approach is a difficult undertaking.

The group made revisions to the cover letter that will accompany the Tribal primer sent out to legislators. The suggested text is as follows:



Honorable Assembly Member/Senator,

California Native American Tribes¹ and coalitions are currently in the process of developing language and legislation pertaining to water and natural resource issues in California. Please find enclosed a primer to assist you identifying issues to be taken into consideration in developing legislation pertaining to water or other natural issues within California.

This primer was developed by the California Water Plan Update 2013 Tribal Advisory Committee². This primer does not include all issues of concern for California Native American Tribes, nor does the CWP 2013 Tribal AC claim to represent all California Native American Tribes. This primer pulls directly from the California Water Plan Update 2013 Objective 12.

Please consider these recommendations when legislation concerning water and natural resource issues comes before you.

Respectfully,

California Water Plan Update 2013 Tribal AC

Senators

Assembly members

Natural Resource Committees

CC: Cynthia Gomez, Tribal Advisory to Governor Brown

CC: Liane Randolph, Chief Counsel and Tribal Liaison, California Natural Resources Agency

ACTION ITEM: DWR will help with mail merge and making copies of the cover letter. This will go on DWR letterhead, with the Tribal logo. This will point to Objective 12, specifically related actions 12.1, 12.2 and 12.4

ACTION ITEM: Will send out cover letter and include legislative deadline.

NOTE: This letter can be posted on Tribal websites and sent out to other organizations. Tribes can send in support language, if they would like.

ACTION ITEM: Send out FAQ handout (and link) to Tribal AC and TCC listserve and post to meeting site.

ACTION ITEM: Recommend to the Update 2018 Tribal AC, that they consider a Legislative Committee to look at possible revisions to the Water Code.

¹ "California Native American Tribes" signifies all Indigenous Communities of California, including those that are federally non-recognized and federally recognized, and those with allotment lands, regardless of whether they own those lands. [Tribal Communication Plan, 2012]

² The Tribal Advisory Committee is a forum where California Native American Tribes and organizations can help develop material for the California Water Plan Update.



Update 2013: Tribal AC Deliverables

The final charter for the 2013 Tribal AC Charter included a number of deliverables, including:

- Update relevant CWP sections, prioritize recommendations.
- Produce a strategic plan for implementing recommendations.
- Ensure Update 2013 has the continuity and quality to inform policy and investment decisions.
- Update the 2008 Tribal Communication Plan.
- Develop a consultation procedure between California Native American Tribes and State agencies. (Executive Order and Consultation Policy developed.)
- Plan a 2013 Tribal Water Summit.

At the Plenary, there was discussion as to whether all of these have been addressed. If there is an interest to do more, either this Tribal AC can do it or recommendations can be made to the 2018 Tribal AC. If more is desired, then those who are seeking more must clarify the desired goals and next steps.

Tribal AC deliverables are being posted on the Tribal AC webpage. There are also resources and maps, with information being housed in one location. The Tribal Policy Advisory webpage will be coming online and will also be linked to this to the Tribal AC webpage.

Update 2018: Tribal AC Development

Stephanie Lucero, facilitator for the Tribal AC, recapped that Tribal participation for Update 2009 consisted of the Tribal Communication Committee (TCC) and subsequent planning team for the Tribal Water Summit. The TCC developed the Tribal Communication Plan and recommended formation of the Tribal AC for Update 2013.

The current structure of the Tribal AC is that members are designated representatives of California Native American Tribes or Tribal non-profits as 501(c)3 or 509(a)2 with articles of incorporation. Three seats on the Public AC were reserved for Tribal AC members, based on consensus approval by the Tribal AC. Also, Tribal AC members are reimbursed for travel expenses.

The following recommendations were agreed upon regarding 2018 Tribal AC membership:

- Each Tribal AC member can only represent one interest or Tribe (no dual representation)
- Establish two membership levels:
 - “Voting” membership for Tribal representatives
 - “Non-voting” membership for representatives of Tribal non-profits
- Maintain Sacramento as the central meeting location
 - If additional funding becomes available, regional meetings can be added
- Maintain the practice of convening the Tribal AC that sunsets with the Water Plan



- If possible, continue practice of setting schedule out for several years
- Alternate or primary Tribal AC members can sit on Public AC, based on unanimous consent of Tribal AC

Letters will be sent to Tribes in July 2014, during the scoping process, asking for representatives to serve on the 2018 Tribal AC. Suggestions for content in the letter include:

- Offer suggestions on formats for designating representatives (e.g. Tribal resolution, letter on Tribal letterhead signed by the governing authority of the Tribe)
- Encourage identification of a primary representative and alternate(s)
- Encourage regular attendance and coordination between primary and alternate representatives

Discussion on Representation and Attendance

There was a suggestion that designation to the Tribal AC involve a Tribal resolution, which provides authority for the representative to speak for the Tribe. Others hesitated to mandate a Tribes appointment process. Another concern was that a formal Tribal resolution might discourage participation.

ACTION ITEM: Create recommendations for the 2018 Tribal AC, with proposed language for membership standing.

ACTION ITEM: Emily, Stephanie and Anecita to draft letter to go to Tribes for recruiting 2018 Tribal AC members, then circulate to current Tribal AC for review and suggested improvements. (Reference the Tribal AC website in the recruiting letters. Explain that travel expenses are reimbursed. Describe time commitment and the possibility of also serving on the Public AC).

ACTION ITEM: Emily, Stephanie and Anecita to draft letter to go to Tribes for appreciation of current (Update 2013) Tribal AC members, with links to work that's been done.

Report: Californians without Safe Water and Sanitation

During the working lunch, Tribal AC members heard an update on the *Californians without Safe Water and Sanitation* report (Report). Jose Alarcon, the Update 2013 Water Quality Team Lead, is authoring the report. Mr. Alarcon extended his appreciation to Tribal AC members who have contributed to this update of the Report: John Covington, Tom Keegan, Michele Chi, and Morning Star Gali. The Report was first prepared in 2005, and the 2013 update is maintaining much of the format and structure. A new section describes the effort to try and quantify how many people do not have safe water or sanitation in California.

There are several tables regarding how many people are without safe water or sanitation. There is also a table on the number of public Tribal water systems (124), although there is no estimate of how many people use those systems. Indian Health Services indicated that there are about 11,000 homes without safe water. There is also information on small public community systems in the State (2,300), which serve about 1 million people. The Department of Public Health indicates



that 164 systems do not provide safe water, with a service population of about 6,000 people. More information is needed on very small systems. A report on communities that rely on contaminated groundwater, indicate that approximately 660,000 have unsafe water at some time.

Similar tables provide information on wastewater/septic systems. For Tribes, Indian Health Services reports that 9,500 homes do not have adequate sanitation. One of the recommendations focuses on obtaining additional information.

Section 5 contains information on Tribal systems and programs, both drinking water and sanitation. There is a discussion on funding and affordability, as well as maintenance and operation of facilities. A case study, provided by Kashia, describes upgrades to a treatment plant – only to encounter problems to operate and maintain the system. Section 6 talks about progress over the past 10 years. Section 6.3 specifically mentions RTOC's efforts, and international conferences on sustainability.

The writing team is seeking comments on the document, especially recommendations 4, 5, 6 and 7 which relate specifically to Tribes. They are also looking for other recommendations which should be included. Comments on the report are needed by the end of 2013. There will be an additional 30-day comment period in February, when the draft Report is released.

Discussion

Question: When systems deliver unsafe water, is that constantly or for certain periods of the year?

Response: A good number of small systems are continually out of compliance. Water quality associated with medium and large systems may fluctuate when certain wells are used for a short period of time.

Comment: Recommendations should address actions that are within the jurisdiction of the state.

Comment: It was important to discuss the unique Tribal issues, including sovereignty. Tribes don't always have the access to programs that DACs have.

Response: A Public AC member is developing a recommendation on technical capacity.

Comment: This is a great example of how Tribal comments can be incorporated into a document.

ACTION ITEM: Page 14, confirm number of California Tribes (111? 114?)

ACTION ITEM: Send email with reminder to send comments by end of year; provide Jose's email address.

ACTION ITEM: Michelle Chi will send language for the footnote on AB8685.

Water and Culture RMS & Related References in Volume 4

Stephanie Lucero reported that there was a Plenary session on the *Water and Culture* Resource Management Strategy (RMS), along with comments from the Tribal authors. It was clear that people held different ideas about what the RMS should address. Some concepts and suggestions



were not consistent with the RMS template, and could not be incorporated. The goal for this RMS is to help water managers understand the importance of looking at culture when making water management decisions.

The intent is to introduce a very complicated topic. Several key points are brought forward: understanding cultural implications requires going out to the communities involved, there are legal requirements to take into account, and culture and communities go hand-in-hand. Additional content can be provided in 2018. For 2013, there will be materials placed in the Reference Guide that relate to Water and Culture. There are also Reference Guide sections dedicated to California Native American Tribes and Tribal Ecological Knowledge.

Reference guide pieces must be submitted by the end of January 2014, and could address the following:

What is Culture (National Park Service concepts, Native perspectives, political perspectives)
TEK and Water Management (Chuck Striplen)
Discussion of Legal Considerations (including DRIP and state laws; Angela Mooney)
Cultural Burning and Water Management (Chuck Jachens)
Unratified Treaties and Maps (Donna Begay)
Culture as a Beneficial Use of Water

ACTION ITEM: Emily to send email with link to Culture and Water RMS document.

ACTION ITEM: Tribal AC members to send suggestions to Emily and Stephanie for the Reference Section (by the end of January).

Tribal AC Communication Plan (TCP)

Ms. Lucero explained that three sections of the TCP were deleted from the earlier versions of the document. Specifically, the text that was pulled encompassed: Communication Planning, Action Planning, and Tribal Communication Network. It was noted that Cynthia Gomez' office appears to be working on an effort similar to the Tribal Communications Network. The TCP will go into the Reference Guide.

Discussion

Comment: It's understandable where the action planning items might be pulled. The

Communication Objectives 1-10 should not have been removed. Section 9 needs to go back in. The evaluation section needs to be in, as well as Tribal Communication Network.

Comment: This is not the version that was circulated for review and comment.

Proposal: Resend the July version for Tribal AC review.

Suggestion: When referring to the TCP, authors say "Tribal Communication Committee" rather than Tribal Communication Network. The network section needs to be updated.

A suggestion to change the title was not agreed upon. Keeping the original title honors the work that was previously done.



ACTION ITEM: John Covington will send most recent version of TCP that he has.

ACTION ITEM: Show deletions from Versions 7, put text back in, refresh dates and send out for review. The timeline should be deleted. Action planning stays out.

ACTION ITEM: Circulate the text, take comments through January 6th. Item will be discussed at the TCP conference call: Tuesday, Jan. 14th, 9-11:00 a.m.

Strategic Framework

Stephanie Lucero reported that the development of a strategic framework will be proposed as a recommended objective for the Update 2018 Tribal AC. This could involve reviewing and commenting on the efforts of several groups are working on strategic frameworks for Tribal water issues. For example, RTOC is voting on a strategic plan. The approach would assess:

- What we have
- What we need
- Next steps

Potential pieces of a toolkit could be part of a strategic plan. Referring to the list of “What We Have,” Ms. Lucero mentioned that the surveys will continue to be available on Survey Monkey. The *Tribal Water Plans and Projects Inventory* was the first information that was proposed by used to help build the toolkit – and there were only 2 responses.

There was a suggestion to develop an FAQ on questions that Tribes get asked.

ACTION ITEM: Repost Tribal Water Plans and Project Inventory Survey.

ACTION ITEM: Describe TWS discussions about what a strategic framework will produce – an understanding of the connections between state and federal agencies in their relationships with Tribes. Describe who is doing what type of work, what resources are available. Discuss with 2018 Tribal AC.

ACTION ITEM: Send out link to IRWM FAQ (posted on Resources website).

Tribal Water Summit Implementation Plan and Related Actions

Stephanie Lucero provided the following update on TWS follow-up:

- Fish and Wildlife has started the co-management discussion (Plenary session)
- BIA and Water Boards are moving towards a water rights training
- BDCP had an informational forum.

It might be helpful to update the Related Actions shown for each goal (from the proceedings).

ACTION ITEM: Judie Talbot to create time stamp for TWS video.

TEK Reference Framework

As part of the toolkit, a resource page will be developed and posted on the Tribal Policy Advisor website. Initial efforts will focus on posting TEK materials. These materials represent resources that can be shared among Tribes, as well as being used to inform partners at the state level. Ron



Goode has contributed some articles, and presenters from the Tribal Water Summit are also being contacted. This page will reference the TWS time stamp for this panel.

Tribal AC members were asked to submit TEK links and resources to Stephanie Lucero and Emily Alejandrino by first week in January 2014. It was mentioned that published items are best. Organizations and links to webpages are also helpful. Members were also encouraged to send in contact information for individuals, which will be for internal reference only and not posted online. Tribal AC members are seen as the subject matter experts that can help develop the toolkit. Materials will be vetted before being posted.

The following possible TEK resources were suggested:

- A group at the University of Arizona is developing white papers on climate change, sustainability and TEK.
- Marine Spatial Databases and Mapping tie into this.
- Look at possible resources from upcoming water rights training session.
- The Indigenous Environmental Network is an example of a grassroots organization working on the ground. Morning Star Gali has been working on this, before it became a hot topic.
- Consider involving grassroots representatives in a TEK task force. There was a 2006 climate change conference in Kokopa, with participation by grassroots organizations. There are proceedings from efforts like that, as well as Native American Fish and Wildlife.

Discussion

Other comments regarding TEK resources included the following:

- Often, Tribal or Traditional knowledge is not written down. We need to explain who the subject matter experts are on TEK. Many are elders, or those who are not enthusiastic about speaking up. Explain the many aspects of sustainability of TEK. That TEK is lived every day. It's a value-system.
- It would be helpful to show how TEK is being applied. How can it be used proactively?
- Application of the toolkit is important on a statewide level. State agencies look at the best-science based processes. We need a basic framework that agencies can use.
- TEK needs to be integrated into efforts such as xeriscaping. We are seeking to change the way that people think about their environment, and how to integrate these concepts into everyday urban life. The book, "Design with Nature" is a great resource.
- All the information needs to go to one location. There might be a reader's guide, blog to ask questions. How will it be maintained?

ACTION ITEM: Tribal AC members to submit TEK resources to Stephanie Lucero and Emily Alejandrino by January 15, 2014.

ACTION ITEM: Anecita to send TEK links.

ACTION ITEM: Check for toolkit concepts from earlier Tribal AC meetings.



Other DWR Tribal Activities

Ms. Agustinez is looking to set a date in March, to discuss DWR's formal consultation policy. This will involve three or four regional meeting regarding DWR formal consultation. This should not be confused with the invitation for formal consultation on the BDCP.

Also, there is some preliminary discussions about creating some type of Director's Advisory Council. This could consist of inviting Tribal leaders to sit with Director Cowin and Secretary Laird. Please email Ms. Agustinez with any ideas about the Advisory Council.

Comment: DWR will want to avoid situation where Tribal elected leaders are sitting with agency directors or staff.

Question: A Tribe submitted formal comments on the Draft A&E Report. It's not clear if the Tribe received and acknowledgement or clarification.

Response: Emily to check with Rich Juricich to see if he received these comments. If so, were they incorporated?

ACTION ITEM: Anecita to email out information in DWR formal consultation session.

ACTION ITEM: Tribal AC members to email Anecita with ideas regarding the Advisory Council.

ACTION ITEM: Emily to check with Rich Juricich to see if he received these comments. If so, were they incorporated?

ACTION ITEM: Email out deadlines and actions.

.



Next Steps

Legislative Overview

ACTION ITEM: DWR will help with mail merge and making copies of the cover letter. This will go on DWR letterhead, with the Tribal logo. This will point to Objective 12, specifically related actions 12.1, 12.2 and 12.4

ACTION ITEM: Will send out cover letter and include legislative deadline.

NOTE: This letter can be posted on Tribal websites and sent out to other organizations. Tribes can send in support language, if they would like.

ACTION ITEM: Send out FAQ handout (and link) to Tribal AC and TCC listserve and post to meeting site.

ACTION ITEM: Recommend to the Update 2018 Tribal AC, that they consider a Legislative Committee to look at possible revisions to the Water Code.

Update 2018 Tribal AC Development

ACTION ITEM: Look at adding Northern Central Valley as potential speaker for Watersheds.

ACTION ITEM: Create recommendations for the 2018 Tribal AC, with proposed language for membership standing.

ACTION ITEM: Emily, Stephanie and Anecita to draft letter to go to Tribes for recruiting 2018 Tribal AC members, then circulate to current Tribal AC for review and suggested improvements. (Reference the Tribal AC website in the recruiting letters. Explain that travel expenses are reimbursed. Describe time commitment and the possibility of also serving on the Public AC).

ACTION ITEM: Emily, Stephanie and Anecita to draft letter to go to Tribes for appreciation of current (Update 2013) Tribal AC members, with links to work that's been done.

Californians without Safe Water and Sanitation Report

ACTION ITEM: Page 14, confirm number of California Tribes (111? 114?)

ACTION ITEM: Send email with reminder to send comments by end of year; provide Jose's email address.

ACTION ITEM: Michelle Chi will send language for the footnote on AB8685.

Water and Culture RMS and Volume 4 References

ACTION ITEM: Emily to send email with link to Culture and Water RMS document.

ACTION ITEM: Tribal AC members to send suggestions to Emily and Stephanie for the Reference Section (by the end of January).



Tribal AC Communication Plan

ACTION ITEM: John Covington will send most recent version of TCP that he has.

ACTION ITEM: Show deletions from Versions 7, put text back in, refresh dates and send out for review. The timeline should be deleted. Action planning stays out.

ACTION ITEM: Circulate the text, take comments through January 6th. Item will be discussed at the TCP conference call: Tuesday, Jan. 14th, 9-11:00 a.m.

Strategic Framework

ACTION ITEM: Repost Tribal Water Plans and Project Inventory Survey.

ACTION ITEM: Describe TWS discussions about what a strategic framework will produce – an understanding of the connections between state and federal agencies in their relationships with Tribes. Describe who is doing what type of work, what resources are available. Discuss with 2018 Tribal AC.

ACTION ITEM: Send out link to IRWM FAQ (posted on Resources website).

ACTION ITEM: Judie Talbot to create time stamp for TWS video.

TEK Reference Framework

ACTION ITEM: Tribal AC members to submit TEK resources to Stephanie Lucero and Emily Alejandrino by January 15, 2014.

ACTION ITEM: Anecita to send TEK links.

ACTION ITEM: Check for toolkit concepts from earlier Tribal AC meetings.

Other DWR Tribal Activities

ACTION ITEM: Anecita to email out information in DWR formal consultation session.

ACTION ITEM: Tribal AC members to email Anecita with ideas regarding the Advisory Council.

ACTION ITEM: Emily to check with Rich Jurich to see if he received these comments. If so, were they incorporated?

ACTION ITEM: Email out deadlines and actions.



Attendance

Tribal Advisory Committee Members and Alternates:

Steve Archer, Buena Vista Rancheria
Paul Britton, Habatemotel of Upper Lake
Michelle Chi, Kazhe Law Group
John Covington, Morongo Band of Mission Indians
Steve Cruz, GQH Lobby for Habatemotel
Aaron Dixon, Susanville Rancheria
Morning Star Gali, Pit River Tribe
Bill George, Pit River Tribe
Christi Hansard, Buena Vista Rancheria
Tom Keegan, Dry Creek Rancheria
Cynthia Naha, Kashia Band of Pomo Indians
Daniel Rocky, Sherwood Valley
William Speer, Shasta Indian Nation
Stephanie Suess, Chicken Ranch Band of Me-Wuk Indians (webinar)

Others:

Chuck Jachens, US Bureau of Indian Affairs, Pacific Region

Anecita Agustinez, DWR, Tribal Policy Advisor
Jose Alarcon, DWR, Water Quality Team Lead
Emily Alejandrino, DWR, Tribal Coordinator Work Team Lead
Kamyar Guivetchi, DWR, Manager, Division of Statewide Integrated Water Management
Mary Randall, DWR, Northern Office Tribal Liaison (webinar)
Jennifer Wong, DWR, Southern Office Tribal Liaison (webinar)

Facilitation Team: Stephanie Lucero, Tribal Facilitator; Judie Talbot, Facilitation Support – CCP